



## RESPONSE TO ADVISORY ACTION

October 24, 2003

Petition to patent examiner Ruth A. Davis

Pertaining to Advisory Action, date mailed 10/17/2003, regarding patent application serial number 09/888,741, filed by Gene E. Lightner 06/25/2001, response to Advisory Action is enclosed within.

Acknowledgment that the period for response is continued three months from the date of final rejection is noted.

The applicant amendment to the specification, page 5, lines 12 and-13 has been previously presented to establish the phrase "as claimed within claim 1". This phrase is devoid of a change in scope, and is well understood by the applicant and expected to be accepted by the examiner.

Attention to previously presented amended claim 1 as (currently amended) is requested. This claim requires underlining and deleting by strikeout so as to become claim 1 (currently amended).

Pertaining to 5, see continuation sheet; scope and intent of applicants invention remains as originally filled with clarification supplied. It is expected that, upon examination of the presented amended specification and newly amended claims, all claims will be allowed by the examiner.

Revised amendment practice, as established within 37 CFR 1.121, is employed.

A separate sheet of the amended claim listing, 2 pages, is provided, and is petitioned to be allowable. Accordingly claim listing 2 pages is provided within separate sheets.

Remarks regarding patent application serial number 09/841,504, have been previously presented, on a separate sheet. These remarks determine that prior art is groundless within the present application.

It is petitioned that upon examination of the separate sheets, claims, will be allowed by the Examiner.

Very respectfully,

*Gene E. Lightner*

Gene E. Lightner